



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,691	09/19/2003	Mark Edward Simek	109934-43	6239
27189	7590 12/28/2005		EXAM	INER
PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP			SHEDRICK, CHARLES TERRELL	
530 B STREE	T			
SUITE 2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92101		2687	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,691	SIMEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles Shedrick	2687				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Oc	ctober 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
, —						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	<u></u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
are easiest to rection afford						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Art Unit: 2687

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/665,691

Art Unit: 2687

5. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 14-15, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oda (U.S. Patent # 5,703,392) in view of Sato (U.S. Patent # 6,625,283).

Page 3

Consider claim 1, Oda clearly shows and discloses a mobile phone housing 100 including a front face having the maximum width W and a maximum height H (figure 1), a first side face (figure 3), and a second side face (figure 6), wherein the first side face and the second side face are substantially perpendicular to the front face (see figures 1,3, and 6); a speaker 22 disposed in and outwardly directed from the front face of the front housing (figure 1, Column 3 lines 6-32); a microphone 23 disposed in and outwardly directed from the front face of the housing (figure 1, Column 3 lines 6-32); and spaced a distance D apart when the mobile phone is extended to the maximum height (figure 1);

1,column 3 line 16); a phone number keypad comprising at least ten telephone number input keys numbered 0-9 to dial a phone number to place a call (i.e., keys 3-12)(figure 1, column 2 lines 22-26).

However, Oda does not clearly disclose a phone number keypad disposed in and outwardly directed from the first side face.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato clearly show and disclose a phone number keypad disposed in and outwardly directed from the first side face (figures 1,2,5,6)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Oda to include the phone number keypad disposed in and

Application/Control Number: 10/665,691

Art Unit: 2687

outwardly directed from the first side face as taught by Sato for the purpose of single hand entry and thumb key strokes.

Consider claim 9, Oda clearly shows a mobile phone, comprising: a front face having, a width W and a maximum height H (figure 1), the front face comprising: a speaker 22, a microphone 23, a display 19 wherein the microphone is spaced a distance D from the speaker when the front face is positioned at the maximum height H (figure 1, Column 3 lines 24-32); wherein the speaker, the microphone, and the display are positioned so that the ratio of the distance to width is greater than 4 as shown in figures 1 and 4; and a side face substantially perpendicular to the front face and having a maximum height H and a thickness T as shown in figures 1 and 4; and phone number keypad comprising a keypad key for each of the numbers 0 through 9 (i.e., keys 3-12)(figure 1, column 2 lines 22-26).

However, Oda does not clearly disclose a phone number keypad on the side face.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato clearly show and disclose a phone number keypad on the side face (figures 1,2,5,6)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Oda to include the phone number keypad on the side face as taught by Sato for the purpose of single hand entry and thumb key strokes.

Consider claim 17, Oda clearly show and disclose a housing comprising a display face 19 having a length L and a width W (figure 1); a speaker 22 disposed in and outwardly directed from the display face of the housing (figure 1);

a display 19 disposed in and outwardly directed from the display face of the front housing (figure 1);

Art Unit: 2687

a microphone 23 disposed in and outwardly directed from the display face (figure 1, Column 3 lines 24-32);

telephone keypad 3-12, the telephone keypad comprising at least ten telephone number input keys numbered from 0 to 9 to dial a phone number to place a call (figure 1, column 2 lines 22-26).

However, Oda does not clearly disclose a phone number keypad on the side face.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato clearly show and disclose a phone number keypad on the side face (figures 1,2,5,6)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Oda to include the phone number keypad on the side face as taught by Sato for the purpose of single hand entry and thumb key strokes.

Consider claims 2 and 18, and as applied to claim 1 and 17 above, Oda as modified by Sato also shows and discloses a mobile phone wherein the telephone input keys are linearly aligned (figure 1, column 3, lines 6-10).

Consider claim 3 and as applied to claims 1 above, Oda clearly shows the claimed invention except wherein the first side face is a right side face with respect to the front face such that the telephone number input keys are located on the right side face.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato shows wherein the first side face is a right side face with respect to the front face such that the telephone number input keys are located on the right side face (figures 1,2,5,6).

Application/Control Number: 10/665,691

Art Unit: 2687

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Oda to include the phone number keypad on the side face as taught by Sato for the purpose of single hand entry and thumb key strokes.

Consider claim 4, and as applied to claim 1 above, Oda as modified by Sato also shows a mobile phone further comprising a thumb keypad (see Oda figure 1).

Consider claim 5, and as applied to claim 1 above, Oda as modified by Sato also shows wherein the distance D to width W is greater than 4 (see Oda figure 1).

Consider claim 6 and as applied to claim 1 above, Oda as modified by Sato also shows in figure 2 and figure 3 a mobile phone that includes a thickness, and the ratio of the width to the thickness is less than 2.

Consider claim 7 and as applied to claim 1 above, Oda as modified by Sato also shows in figure 1 at least ten telephone number input keys further comprise a "*" key and a "#"(i.e., input keys 3-18) (figure 1).

Consider claim 10, and as applied to claim 9 above, Oda as modified by Sato also shows and discloses a mobile phone wherein the telephone input keys are linearly aligned with respect to the maximum height H (figure 1, column 3, lines 6-10).

Consider claim 11, and as applied to claim 9 above, Oda as modified by Sato also shows and discloses a mobile phone wherein the side face is one of a left side face and a right side face (figures 3 and 6, column 2, lines 45-67).

Consider claim 12, and as applied to claim 9 above, Oda clearly shows tha claimed invention except wherein the phone number keypad is located adjacent to an intersection between the front face and the one of the left side face and the right side face.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato clearly show and disclose wherein the phone number keypad is located adjacent to an intersection between the front face and the one of the left side face and the right side face (figures 1,2,5,6)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Oda to include wherein the phone number keypad is located adjacent to an intersection between the front face and the one of the left side face and the right side face as taught by Sato for the purpose of single hand entry and thumb key strokes.

Consider claim 14 and as applied to claim 9 above, Oda also shows in figure 2 and figure 3 wherein the ratio of the width to the thickness is less than 2.

Consider claim 15 and as applied to claim 10 above, Oda as modified by Sato also show in figure 1 wherein the plurality of telephone number input keys further comprise a "*" key and a "#"(i.e., input keys 3-18) (figure 1).

Consider claim 20, and as applied to claim 17 above, Oda as modified by Sato also shows wherein a ratio of the display face length to the display face width W is greater than 4 (i.e., see Oda figure 1).

Claims 8, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oda in view of Well known Prior Art (MPEP 2144)

Consider claims 8 and 16, and as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, Oda clearly disclose the claimed invention except wherein the at least ten telephone number input keys further correspond to the letters "a" through "z" in conformance with a standard telephone keypad.

Art Unit: 2687

However, The Examiner takes official Notice that alphanumeric telephone keys are notoriously well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Oda to include alphanumeric keys as well known in the art for the purpose of entering alphanumeric characters.

Claims 13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Oda (U.S. Patent # 5,703,392) in view of Sato (U.S. Patent #6,625,283 B1) and further in view of P. Capps (US 2003/0073414 A1).

Consider claims 13 and 19, and as applied to claims 9 and 17 above, Oda as modified by Sato clearly discloses the claimed invention except a thumb keypad for controlling the display.

However, in the same field of endeavor, P. Capps clearly show and disclose a thumb keypad 106 (figure 1a) for controlling the display (paragraph 0036).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Oda as further modified by Sato to include a thumb keypad for the purpose of controlling the display.

(10) Response to Argument

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2687

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kim, which shows and discloses an electronic communication device including a front, right, left, and a rear side face with a width W between the left side face and the right side face.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Shedrick whose telephone number is (571)-272-8621. The examiner can normally be reached on 730am-430pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kincaid Lester can be reached on (571)-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2687

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Shedrick Art Unit 2687 December 21, 2005 NICK CORSARO